

MIAMI BEACH

COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Commission
FROM: City Manager Eric Carpenter
DATE: September 11, 2024
TITLE: DISCUSSION – LINCOLN ROAD SIDE STREET SIGNAGE PILOT

RECOMMENDATION

The Administration recommends that the City Commission discuss the item and provide policy direction.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

On May 15, 2024, at the request of Commissioner David Suarez, the Mayor and City Commission referred a discussion item regarding directional signage on Lincoln Road (C4 AL) to the Land Use and Sustainability Committee (LUSC). The item sponsor requested that the LUSC discuss and consider a proposal for limited directional signage on Lincoln Road, to advise the public regarding retail and restaurant businesses located on side streets off Lincoln Road. As part of this item, the sponsor has also requested information on whether any code amendments would be necessary to permit such signage in the Lincoln Road right-of-way.

On June 10, 2024, the LUSC discussed the proposal and recommended that the City Commission authorize up to a six (6) month pilot for directional signage on Lincoln Road. The item was placed on the June 26, 2024 Commission agenda but was not reached. The item was placed on the July 24, 2024 Commission agenda but was not reached.

ANALYSIS

Pursuant to Chapter 6 of the Land Development Regulations of the City Code (LDRs) and Chapter 82 of the City Code, signs in the public right of way are subject to the review and approval of the Public Works Department. Additionally, all signs in the public right of way must meet the minimum applicable requirements set forth in these code sections.

To create a provision for advertising signs on Lincoln Road, with the limited purpose of providing identification for businesses on side streets, amendments to Chapter 82 of the City Code, as well as Chapter 6 of the LDRs, would be required. In this regard, unless otherwise permitted elsewhere in the City Code, general advertising signs are prohibited in the city, including within public rights-of-way.

An amendment to the LDRs would require a referral to the Planning Board, as well as two readings of the ordinance. An amendment to Chapter 82 does not require Planning Board review, just two readings of the ordinance. Additionally, if the code should be amended to allow identification and advertising signs for side street businesses off Lincoln Road, the approval of the Historic Preservation Board would be required for such signs.

Currently the only general advertising permitted on Lincoln Road is within approved directory signs. As it pertains to the size, location and design of any future right-of-way signs on Lincoln Road, if the proposal should move forward, it might be worthwhile to consider engaging the design

consultant for the Lincoln Road project, James Corner Field Operations, to develop a standard for such signs. Depending on the scope of the work involved, this may have budget implications.

Should the Mayor and City Commission vote in favor of moving forward with a pilot program for directional signage on Lincoln Road, as recommended by the LUSC, further evaluation would be needed regarding an appropriate method for implementing such a program. In this regard, the special event permit (SEP) process utilized for Lincoln Road might be an option. However, special events on Lincoln Road are of a limited duration in time, which means that any directional signage installed pursuant to an SEP may need to be removed at the conclusion of the special event period. The City Manager has the discretion to approve a SEP for events which exceed the consecutive day clause/ limit of permissible events per venue, and shall also have the discretion to amend, modify or temporarily suspend the permit for such events.

Regardless of whether the proposed directional sign program moves forward on a permanent or temporary basis, a qualified vendor would need to be retained for the design and fabrication of the signs. Additionally, a process for the installation and maintenance of the signs would need to be established. As the cost for this proposal has not been identified, budgeted, or appropriated, funding would need to be prioritized as part of the Fiscal Year 2025 Budget process.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

The cost for this proposal has not been identified, budgeted, or appropriated. As such, funding would need to be prioritized as part of the Fiscal Year 2025 Budget process.

Does this Ordinance require a Business Impact Estimate? (FOR ORDINANCES ONLY)

The Business Impact Estimate (BIE) was published on . See BIE at:
<https://www.miamibeachfl.gov/city-hall/city-clerk/meeting-notices/>

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CONCLUSION

The Administration recommends that the City Commission discuss the item and provide policy direction.

Applicable Area

South Beach

Is this a "Residents Right to Know" item, pursuant to City Code Section 2-17?

Yes

Is this item related to a G.O. Bond Project?

No

Was this Agenda Item initially requested by a lobbyist which, as defined in Code Sec. 2-481, includes a principal engaged in lobbying? No

If so, specify the name of lobbyist(s) and principal(s):

Department

Planning

Sponsor(s)

Commissioner David Suarez

Co-sponsor(s)