

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
City of Miami Beach, Florida

MEETING DATE: May 13, 2025

PROPERTY/FOLIO: 100 21st Street / 02-3226-001-0040

FILE NO: HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442

APPLICANT: BHI Miami Limited Corp

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting modifications to a previously issued Certificate of Appropriateness for the partial demolition, renovation and restoration of the hotel building, the total demolition of an accessory cabana structure, the construction of ground level and rooftop additions, one or more waivers and a variance to relocate signage to a non-street facing façade. Specifically, the applicant is requesting to exceed the scope of the previously approved demolition.

LEGAL: Lot 1 & riparian rights & Lots 3 & 5, Block A, & a portion of 21st Street, of the Miami Beach Improvement Company Subdivision, according to the plat thereof, as Recorded in Plat Book 5, Page 7, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

CONSOLIDATED ORDER

The City of Miami Beach Historic Preservation Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

I. Certificate of Appropriateness

- A. The subject site is located within the Ocean Drive/Collins Avenue Local Historic District.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted:
 - 1. Is not consistent with Sea Level Rise and Resiliency Review Criteria '1' in Section 7.1.2.4(a)(1) of the Land Development Regulations.
 - 2. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(1) of the Land Development Regulations.
 - 3. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(2) of the Land Development Regulations.
 - 4. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in section 2.13.7(d)(ii)(3) of the Land Development Regulations.

Page 2 of 8

HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442

Meeting Date: May 13, 2025

5. Is consistent with Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria in 2.13.7(d)(vi)(4) of the Land Development Regulations.
- C. The project would be consistent with the criteria and requirements of sections 2.13.7(d) and 7.1.2.4(a) of Land Development Regulations if the following conditions are met:
- a. The placement and configuration of the windows located east of the vertical fin on the north elevation of the Contributing building shall be further developed in a manner more consistent with the Post War Modern style, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - b. The "Sea Gull" sign shall be reconstructed and reintroduced along the vertical fin element on the north elevation in a manner consistent with available historical documentation, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. Any lighting element proposed as part of this reconstructed historic signage feature shall be subject to the approval of the Florida Department of Environment Protection.
 - c. A plaque or historic display describing the history and evolution of the building shall be placed on the site and shall be located in a manner visible from the right of way, prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the building, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - d. The overall design of the lobby shall be consistent with the Post War Modern style, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - e. Prior to the issuance of a Building Permit, the applicant shall provide staff with documentation of the existing cladding for the vertical wall element. If staff determines that the cladding is an original material it shall be retained and restored. If the cladding is in poor condition as determined by staff, it may be replaced with a similar material, color and configuration as the existing material, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - f. New neon or similar LED lighting shall be installed within the lighting channel at the edge of the porte-cochere including the eyebrow structure extending to the east at the ground level, subject to the approval of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
 - g. All exterior windows and doors that are to remain shall be replaced with new impact resistant windows and doors with an historically accurate muntin configuration, in

Page 3 of 8

HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442

Meeting Date: May 13, 2025

a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

- h. The final design and details for the reconstruction of the original angled fin screening element located at the ground level north facade shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- i. The final design and details for the reconstruction of the original doors and windows with projecting window frames located at the western end of the ground level north facade shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- j. The final design and details for the horizontal fin screen elements to be located on the attached additions, including material samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- k. The final design and details for the projecting overhang located at the new rooftop addition, including material samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board. The overhang shall have a maximum projection of 4'-0".
- l. The deck located at the roof of the rooftop addition shall not extend past the exterior walls of the addition, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- m. Final details of all exterior surface finishes and materials, including samples, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- n. All roof-top fixtures, air-conditioning units and mechanical devices shall be clearly noted on a revised roof plan and elevation drawings and shall be screened from view, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- o. The applicant shall submit large scale, detailed measured drawings of the porte cochere structure prior to the issuance of a permit for its demolition and reconstruction, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
- p. Revised demolition plans and elevation drawings, and a shoring and bracing plan for the north facade, shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

Page 4 of 8

HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442

Meeting Date: May 13, 2025

2. In accordance with Section 7.5.2.1(d)(3) of the Land Development Regulations, the requirement pertaining to the visibility of a rooftop addition when viewed at eye level (5'-6" from grade) from the opposite side of the adjacent right-of-way, is hereby waived.
3. In accordance with Section 2.12.8(b) of the Land Development Regulations, the requirement pertaining to an existing structure's setbacks and parking credits, is hereby waived.
4. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding site plan, shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plan shall incorporate the following:
 - a. The proposed landscape plan shall satisfy minimum landscape code requirements as prescribed in Chapter 4 of the Land Development Regulations.
 - b. All hedge and ground cover plantings within the street facing yards shall not exceed 42" in height at maturity.
 - c. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain.
 - d. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.
 - e. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.
 - f. The use of Silva Cells or approved equal should be specified for canopy shade trees planted in areas where rooting space may be limited, inclusive of street trees, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff, consistent with the Certificate of Appropriateness Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.

In accordance with section 2.2.4.8(c) of the Land Development Regulations the applicant, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal the Board's decision on a Certificate of Appropriateness to a special magistrate appointed by the City Commission.

II. Variance(s)

- A. The applicant filed an application with the Planning Department for the following variance(s):
 1. A variance to relocate an allowable building identification sign to the parapet of a non street façade on the west side of the property.

Page 5 of 8

HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442

Meeting Date: May 13, 2025

- B. The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that satisfy Article 1, Section 2 of the Related Special Acts, allowing the granting of a variance if the Board finds that practical difficulties exist with respect to implementing the proposed project at the subject property.

The applicant has submitted plans and documents with the application that indicate the following, as they relate to the requirements of 2.8.3(a) of the Land Development Regulations:

That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same zoning district;

That the special conditions and circumstances do not result from the action of the applicant;

That granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district;

That literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this Ordinance and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant;

That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building or structure;

That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of this Ordinance and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare; and

That the granting of this request is consistent with the comprehensive plan and does not reduce the levels of service as set forth in the plan.

The granting of the variance will result in a structure and site that complies with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.

- C. The Board hereby **approves** the requested variance, as noted and imposes the following condition based on its authority in Section 2.8.3(a) of the Land Development Regulations:
1. Substantial modifications to the plans submitted and approved as part of the application, as determined by the Planning Director or designee, may require the applicant to return to the Board for approval of the modified plans, even if the modifications do not affect variances approved by the Board.

The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.

Page 6 of 8

HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442

Meeting Date: May 13, 2025

III. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Certificate of Appropriateness' and 'II. Variances' noted above.

- A. This Final Order consolidates all conditions and requirements for Certificate of Appropriateness approval as same are contained herein, in the Order dated June 15, 2021 (HPB20-0442) and in the Order dated November 12, 2024 (HPB24-0635), accordingly, this Order shall serve as the Final Order for the proposed project. In the event of a conflict between the provisions hereof and those of the previous Orders, the provisions hereof shall control.
- B. The applicant agrees and shall be required to provide access to areas subject to this approval (not including private residences or hotel rooms) for inspection by the City (i.e.: Planning, Code Compliance, Building Department, Fire Safety), to ensure compliance with the plans approved by the Board and conditions of this order.
- C. The issuance of a building permit is contingent upon meeting Public School Concurrency requirements. Applicant shall obtain a valid School Concurrency Determination Certificate (Certificate) issued by the Miami-Dade County Public Schools. The Certificate shall state the number of seats reserved at each school level. In the event sufficient seats are not available, a proportionate share mitigation plan shall be incorporated into a tri-party development agreement and duly executed. No building permit may be issued unless and until the applicant obtains a written finding from Miami-Dade County Public Schools that the applicant has satisfied school concurrency.
- D. The relocation of any tree shall be subject to the approval of the Environment & Sustainability Director and/or Urban Forester, as applicable.
- E. Where one or more parcels are unified for a single development, the property owner shall execute and record a unity of title or a covenant in lieu of unity of title, as may be applicable, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney.
- F. All applicable FPL transformers or vault rooms and backflow prevention devices shall be located within the building envelope with the exception of the valve (PIV) which may be visible and accessible from the street.
- G. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.
- H. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- I. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.

Page 7 of 8

HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442

Meeting Date: May 13, 2025

- J. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- K. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- L. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.
- M. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the application is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Findings of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the plans entitled "**Bulgari Hotel Miami Beach**", as prepared by **Revuelta Architecture International**, dated **March 8, 2021, and September 8, 2024, and May 13, 2025**, as approved by the Historic Preservation Board, as determined by staff.

When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

The issuance of the approval does not relieve the applicant from obtaining all other required Municipal, County and/or State reviews and permits, including final zoning approval. If adequate handicapped access is not provided on the Board-approved plans, this approval does not mean that such handicapped access is not required. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of section 2.13.7 of the Land Development Regulations; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If

Page 8 of 8
HPB25-0649 a.k.a. HPB24-0635 a.k.a. HPB20-0442
Meeting Date: May 13, 2025

the Full Building Permit for the project should expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations. Failure to comply with this Order shall subject the application to chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, for revocation or modification of the application.

5/22/2025 | 2:10 PM EDT

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA

BY: _____
DEBORAH TACKETT
HISTORIC PRESERVATION & ARCHITECTURE OFFICER
FOR THE CHAIR


DocuSigned by:

Deborah Tackett

8BE91C44165943C...

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)SS
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 23 day of May 2025 by Deborah Tackett, Historic Preservation & Architecture Officer, Planning Department, City of Miami Beach, Florida, a Florida Municipal Corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me.



Miriam Herrera
NOTARY PUBLIC
Miami-Dade County, Florida
My commission expires: 12-16-27

DocuSigned by:

Approved As To Form:
City Attorney's Office: *Nickalleg*

(5/22/2025 | 2:02 PM EDT
DocuSigned by:

8D8CB88CCAB8460

Filed with the Clerk of the Historic Preservation Board on

Jessica Frking (5/22/2025 | 4:13 PM EDT
10FC373F9D654A5

~~Strike Thru~~ denotes language deleted by the Board on May 13, 2025
Underscore denotes language added by the Board on May 13, 2025