



COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

TO: Land Use and Sustainability Committee Members

FROM: Eric Carpenter, City Manager

DATE: April 15, 2025

TITLE: REQUIRE APPLICANTS FOR ZONING INCENTIVES TO BE IN GOOD STANDING WITH THE CITY PRIOR TO FILING A COMPLETED APPLICATION, INCLUDING RESOLVING ALL OPEN CODE VIOLATIONS, PAYING ALL OUTSTANDING FINES, FEES, OR OTHER BILLS TO THE CITY, AND PROHIBITING HABITUAL OFFENDERS WITH A PATTERN OF CODE VIOLATIONS DURING THE PRECEDING FEW YEARS FROM APPLYING UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.

RECOMMENDATION

The Administration recommends that the Land Use and Sustainability Committee (LUSC) endorse the draft amendments to the Land Development Regulations of the City Code (LDRs) and recommend that the City Commission refer an ordinance to the Planning Board.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

On February 3, 2025, at the request of Commissioner Alex Fernandez, the Mayor and City Commission (City Commission) referred a discussion item pertaining to requirements for applicants seeking zoning incentives to be in good standing with the City (C4 Y) to the LUSC.

ANALYSIS

As noted in the attached referral memorandum, the item sponsor has requested that the LUSC discuss and consider possible amendments to the LDRs to require applicants for zoning incentives to be in good standing with the City prior to filing a completed application. Any amendments should consider efforts to mitigate conditions at a property and whether the ownership has changed, as well as the following, without limitation:

1. Resolving all open Code and Building violations.
2. Paying all outstanding fines, fees, or other open bills to the City.
3. Prohibiting properties with a history of persistent or habitual violations (to be discussed/identified by the LUSC) should be ineligible for applying under certain circumstances, including implementing a potential waiting period (e.g., one year) from the resolution of the last issue before eligibility for zoning incentives is reconsidered.

The following is a draft text amendment to Chapter 2 of the LDRs, in response to the direction of the item sponsor:

Chapter 2 - ADMINISTRATION AND REVIEW PROCEDURES

ARTICLE IV – Amendments to Comprehensive Plan and to the Text of the Land Development Regulations

2.4.1 GENERALLY

- a. *A request to amend the comprehensive plan or to amend the text of these land development regulations may be submitted to the planning director by the city manager; city attorney; or upon an adopted motion of the city commission, planning board, board of adjustment, or historic preservation board (with regard to the designation of historic districts or sites, or matters that directly pertain to historic preservation); or by an owner(s) or developer(s) of the property which is the subject of the proposed change (hereinafter, a private applicant). Matters submitted by the city manager or city attorney shall first be referred to the planning board by the city commission for action on the referral.*
- b. *For amendments specific to a private property, unified development site or other development, the property owner shall certify the following, prior to consideration of the amendment by the Planning Board:*
 - 1. There shall be no open zoning, city code and building violations at the subject property.*
 - 2. There shall be no outstanding fines, fees, or other open bills due to the City.*
- c. *An owner applicant or his representative shall file an application pursuant to sections 2.2.3.1 and 2.2.3.2 of this chapter. The city shall not be required to file an application.*

The Administration is supportive of these proposed text amendments, as they would apply to all applications uniformly and would be an added incentive to correct outstanding violations and past due fines in an expedient manner. These requirements could also be included as a condition of eligibility as part of future zoning incentive legislation, including legislation presently before the City Commission, LUSC, or Planning Board.

As it pertains to properties with a history of persistent or habitual violations, the Administration does not recommend adding this as a regulatory criteria. The reason is that a property may go through a change of ownership and delaying the amendment process could disincentivize or otherwise impact the ability of the property owner to move forward with improvements to the property. Also, developing a standard for what constitutes 'persistent or habitual' violations would be highly subjective and difficult to administer.

If there is consensus on the above noted text amendments, a draft ordinance can be presented to the City Commission for referral to the Planning Board.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

No Fiscal Impact

Does this Ordinance require a Business Impact Estimate? (FOR ORDINANCES ONLY)

The Business Impact Estimate (BIE) was published on .

See BIE at: <https://www.miamibeachfl.gov/city-hall/city-clerk/meeting-notice/>

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Not Applicable

CONCLUSION

The Administration recommends that the LUSC endorse the draft amendments to the LDRs and recommend that the City Commission refer an ordinance to the Planning Board.

Applicable Area

Citywide

Is this a “Residents Right to Know” item, pursuant to City Code Section 2-17?

Yes

Is this item related to a G.O. Bond Project?

No

Was this Agenda Item initially requested by a lobbyist which, as defined in Code Sec. 2-481, includes a principal engaged in lobbying? No

If so, specify the name of lobbyist(s) and principal(s):

Department

Planning

Sponsor(s)

Commissioner Alex Fernandez

Co-sponsor(s)

Condensed Title

Require Applicants For Zoning Incentives To Be In Good Standing With The City Prior To Filing A Completed Application, Including Resolving All Open Code Violations, Paying All Outstanding Fines, Fees, Or Other Bills To The City, And Prohibiting Habitual Offenders With A Pattern Of Code Violations During The Preceding Few Years From Applying Under Certain Circumstances.