

# MIAMI BEACH

## PLANNING DEPARTMENT

### Staff Report & Recommendation

### Design Review Board

TO: DRB Chairperson and Members

DATE: November 5, 2024

FROM: Thomas R. Mooney, AICP  
Planning Director



SUBJECT: DRB24-1051  
**310 South Coconut Lane**

### **DRB24-1051, 310 S COCONUT LANE**

An application has been filed requesting Design Review Approval for the construction of a new two-story residence with an understory, including one or more waivers, to replace an existing residence.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

### **LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**

See 'Exhibit A'

### **SITE DATA:**

Zoning: RS-4  
Future Land Use: RS  
Lot Size: 9,431 SF  
Lot Coverage:  
    Proposed: 2,458 SF / 26.35%  
    Maximum: 2,829 SF / 30%  
Unit size:  
    Proposed: 4,715 SF / 49.99%  
    Maximum: 4,716 SF / 50%  
Height:  
    Proposed: **28'-6"** flat roof \*  
    Maximum: 31'-0" flat roof\*  
\*As measured from BFE + free board

Grade: +4.62' NGVD

Base Flood Elevation: +9.00' NGVD  
Adjusted Grade: 6.81' NGVD  
First Floor Elevation: +18.5' NGVD  
BFE + 9.5' of freeboard

### **EXISTING PROPERTY:**

Year: 1925  
Architect: Unknown  
Vacant: No  
Demolition: Total

### **SURROUNDING PROPERTIES**

East: Two-story 1936 | 2006 residence  
North: Two-story 1940 residence  
South: Two-story 2008 residence  
West: Biscayne Bay

### **THE PROJECT:**

The applicant has submitted plans entitled "Coconut Lane Residence" as designed by **Praxis Architecture Design and** dated September 6, 2024. The applicant is proposing to construct a new single-family residence with an understory.

The applicant is requesting review for an understory area:

1. Understory area shall be subject to the review and approval of the Design Review Board in accordance with Section 7.2.2.3(b)(vi).c

The applicant is requesting the following waivers:

1. A two-story side (south) elevation in excess of 60'-0" in length in accordance with Section 7.2.2.3(b)(ii).

**COMPLIANCE WITH ZONING CODE:**

A preliminary review of the project indicates that the application, as proposed, appears to be inconsistent with the following sections of the City Code.

- The proposed pond within the understory area shall be setback 5 feet from the northern exterior side of the underneath all of the first habitable floor above, 7.2.2.3(b)6.H.
- The proposed enclosure for the mechanical equipment on the rooftop shall not exceed the height of the equipment being screened, as per Section 7.2.2.3(b)9.D.
- The proposed trellis shall be setback 10 feet from the perimeter of the roof edge below, as per Section 7.2.2.3(b)9.K.
- Two-story side elevations located parallel to a side property line shall not exceed 50 percent of the lot depth, or 60 feet, whichever is less, without incorporating additional open space, in excess of the minimum required side yard, directly adjacent to the required side yard:
  - a. The additional open space shall be regular in shape, open to the sky from grade, and at least eight feet in depth, measured perpendicular from the minimum required side setback line.
  - b. The square footage of the additional open space shall not be less than one percent of the lot area.
  - c. The elevation (height) of the open space provided shall not exceed the maximum permitted elevation height of the required side yard, and
  - d. At least 50 percent of the required interior open space area shall be sodded or landscaped with pervious open space.

The intent of this regulation shall be to break up long expanses of uninterrupted two-story volume at or near the required side yard setback line and exception from the minimum requirements of this provision may be granted only through design review board approval in accordance with the applicable design review criteria.

The above noted comments shall not be considered final zoning review or approval. These and all zoning matters shall require final review and verification by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

**COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN REVIEW CRITERIA, SECTION 2.5.3.1:**

Design review encompasses the examination of architectural / landscape drawings for consistency with the criteria stated below, with regard to the aesthetics, appearance, safety, and function of any new or existing structure and physical attributes of the project in relation to the site, adjacent structures and surrounding community. The design review board and the planning department shall review plans based upon the below stated criteria, criteria listed in neighborhood plans, if applicable, and applicable design guidelines. Recommendations of the

planning department may include, but not be limited to, comments from the building department and the public works department.

- a. The existing and proposed conditions of the lot, including but not necessarily limited to topography, vegetation, trees, drainage, and waterways.  
**Satisfied**
- b. The location of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, drainage facilities, utility services, landscaping structures, signs, and lighting and screening devices.  
**Satisfied**
- c. The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, floor area ratio, height, lot coverage and any other information that may be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of the underlying zoning district, and any applicable overlays, for a particular application or project.  
**Satisfied**
- d. The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of exterior building surfaces and primary public interior areas for developments requiring a building permit in areas of the city identified in section 2.5.3.2.  
**Satisfied**
- e. The proposed site plan, and the location, appearance and design of new and existing buildings and structures are in conformity with the standards of this article and other applicable ordinances, architectural and design guidelines as adopted and amended periodically by the design review board and historic preservation board and all pertinent master plans.  
**Satisfied**
- f. The proposed structure, or additions or modifications to an existing structure, indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the environment and adjacent structures, and enhances the appearance of the surrounding properties.  
**Satisfied**
- g. The design and layout of the proposed site plan, as well as all new and existing buildings shall be reviewed so as to provide an efficient arrangement of land uses. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention and fire protection, relationship to the surrounding neighborhood, impact on contiguous and adjacent buildings and lands, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.  
**Satisfied**
- h. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic movement within and adjacent to the site shall be reviewed to ensure that clearly defined, segregated pedestrian access to the site and all buildings is provided for and that all parking spaces are usable and are safety and conveniently arranged; pedestrian furniture and bike racks shall be considered. Access to the site from adjacent roads shall be designed so as to interfere as little as possible with traffic flow on these roads and to permit vehicles a rapid and safe ingress and egress to the site.  
**Not Applicable**

- i. Lighting shall be reviewed to ensure safe movement of persons and vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and to minimize glare and reflection on adjacent properties. Lighting shall be reviewed to assure that it enhances the appearance of structures at night.  
**Not Satisfied; a lighting plan has not been submitted.**
- j. Landscape and paving materials shall be reviewed to ensure an adequate relationship with and enhancement of the overall site plan design.  
**Satisfied**
- k. Buffering materials shall be reviewed to ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view, adjacent properties and pedestrian areas.  
**Satisfied**
- l. The proposed structure has an orientation and massing which is sensitive to and compatible with the building site and surrounding area and which creates or maintains important view corridor(s).  
**Satisfied**
- m. The building has, where feasible, space in that part of the ground floor fronting a street or streets which is to be occupied for residential or commercial uses; likewise, the upper floors of the pedestal portion of the proposed building fronting a street, or streets shall have residential or commercial spaces, shall have the appearance of being a residential or commercial space or shall have an architectural treatment which shall buffer the appearance of the parking structure from the surrounding area and is integrated with the overall appearance of the project.  
**Satisfied**
- n. The building shall have an appropriate and fully integrated rooftop architectural treatment which substantially screens all mechanical equipment, stairs and elevator towers.  
**Satisfied**
- o. An addition on a building site shall be designed, sited and massed in a manner which is sensitive to and compatible with the existing improvement(s).  
**Not Applicable**
- p. All portions of a project fronting a street or sidewalk shall incorporate an architecturally appropriate amount of transparency at the first level in order to achieve pedestrian compatibility and adequate visual interest.  
**Satisfied**
- q. The location, design, screening and buffering of all required service bays, delivery bays, trash and refuse receptacles, as well as trash rooms shall be arranged so as to have a minimal impact on adjacent properties.  
**Satisfied**
- r. In addition to the foregoing criteria, subsection 118-104-6(t) of the General Ordinances shall apply to the design review board's review of any proposal to place, construct, modify or maintain a wireless communications facility or other over the air radio transmission or radio reception facility in the public rights- of-way.

**Not Applicable**

- s. The structure and site comply with the sea level rise and resiliency review criteria in chapter 7, article I, as applicable.

**Satisfied**

**COMPLIANCE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE AND RESILIENCY REVIEW CRITERIA**

Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations establishes review criteria for sea level rise and resiliency that must be considered as part of the review process for board orders. The following is an analysis of the request based upon these criteria:

1. A recycling or salvage plan for partial or total demolition shall be provided.  
**Satisfied; additional information will be required at the time of building permit in order to demonstrate compliance.**
2. Windows that are proposed to be replaced shall be hurricane proof impact windows.  
**Satisfied**
3. Where feasible and appropriate, passive cooling systems, such as operable windows, shall be provided.  
**Satisfied**
4. Resilient landscaping (salt tolerant, highly water-absorbent, native or Florida friendly plants) shall be provided, in accordance with Chapter 4 of the Land Development Regulations.  
**Satisfied**
5. The project applicant shall consider the adopted sea level rise projections in the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Action Plan, as may be revised from time-to-time by the Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact. The applicant shall also specifically study the land elevation of the subject property and the elevation of surrounding properties.  
**Satisfied**
6. The ground floor, driveways, and garage ramping for new construction shall be adaptable to the raising of public rights-of-ways and adjacent land and shall provide sufficient height and space to ensure that the entry ways and exits can be modified to accommodate a higher street height of up to three (3) additional feet in height.  
**Satisfied**
7. In all new projects, all critical mechanical and electrical systems shall be located above base flood elevation. Due to flooding concerns, all redevelopment projects shall, whenever practicable, and economically reasonable, move all critical mechanical and electrical systems to a location above base flood elevation.  
**Satisfied**
8. Existing buildings shall be, where reasonably feasible and economically appropriate, elevated up to base flood elevation, plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard.  
**Not Applicable**

9. When habitable space is located below the base flood elevation plus City of Miami Beach Freeboard, wet or dry flood proofing systems will be provided in accordance with Chapter of 54 of the City Code.  
**Satisfied; additional information will be required at the time of building permit in order to demonstrate compliance.**
10. In all new projects, water retention systems shall be provided.  
**Satisfied; additional information will be required at the time of building permit in order to demonstrate compliance.**
11. Cool pavement materials or porous pavement materials shall be utilized.  
**Satisfied; additional information will be required at the time of building permit in order to demonstrate compliance.**
12. The project design shall minimize the potential for a project causing a heat island effect on site.  
**Satisfied; additional information will be required at the time of building permit in order to demonstrate compliance.**

**STAFF ANALYSIS:**

The applicant is proposing to construct a new two-story residence on an irregularly shaped waterfront lot on Hibiscus Island to replace an existing residence. In addition to the review of an undesirable area, the application includes one design waiver.

The proposed home features an understory with the first fully enclosed, habitable floor of the residence designed at an elevation of 18.5' NGVD, or BFE plus 9'-6" of freeboard. The design intention is to create a larger, non-air-conditioned space below the main slab that serves as a usable outdoor recreational amenity and program feature for the owner, as well as to construct a resilient residence with a first habitable floor at a higher elevation. The height of the home is 28'-6". Staff recommends that the height be reduced by 6", for an overall height of 28'. In this design, the proposed understory is fully designed with access to the main level, garage, carport, open area finished in concrete pavers, landscaping and a pond. The pond, as proposed, encroaches into the understory edge along the north side yard and connects to a large pool in the rear yard overlooking Biscayne Bay. To comply with the code, the pond shall be setback 5 feet from the northern exterior side of the underneath all of the first habitable floor above.

This application also includes a request for a design waiver that relates to two-story, side elevations that exceed 60'-0" in length. For such elevations, the code establishes open space requirements to break up long expanses of interior elevations. In this instance, the south side elevation does not comply. This proposed south elevation is 68'-4", exceeding by 9'-2½" the code requirement that such elevations not exceed 50% of the property length or 60', whichever is less. The subject elevation incorporates an open area that complies with the area and landscape requirements of the code. However, the said open space is not fully open to the sky as it features an architectural beam that traverses the area along the roofline. Despite the beam, the design of the south elevation features open spaces and voids that break up its length and meets the intent of the code.

The residence is designed in a contemporary style and is distinguished by a half-cylindrical volume on the front elevation that is clad in limestone and accented with flowing landscape. Staff does have concerns with the mass of the front limestone clad elevation and recommends

that the openings be increased in size to reduce the mass of the structure. The remainder of the home's design is rectilinear, featuring concrete stucco frame, inset with metal louvers and recessed glass walls. The curvilinear architectural gestures are continued throughout the design and appear in the open entrance staircase, the side, exterior spiral staircase and at the rooftop elevator shaft. The design features a warm color palette of white and sand colored stucco, bronze window frames, and oolitic limestone cladding. Staff is supportive of the design and recommends its approval with the noted recommendations and conditions.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

In view of the foregoing analysis, staff recommends the application be **approved**, subject to the conditions enumerated in the attached Draft Order, which address the inconsistencies with the aforementioned Design Review and Sea Level Rise criteria.

‘Exhibit A’

All of Lot 3 in Block D2 of AMENDED RIVIERA FIRST AND SECOND ADDITION, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 32, at Page 37, of the Public Records of Dade County, Florida; also a 20.00 foot strip of land contiguous to the Westerly boundary line of said Lot 3, lying between the Westerly extensions of the Northerly and Southerly boundary lines of said Lot 3; also that part of Lot 4 in Block D2 of Amended Riviera, which is described as follows: Beginning at a point which is the intersection of the dividing line between Lots 3 and 4 of said Block D2 and the Westerly line of South Coconut Lane, run Westerly along the dividing line between said Lots 3 and 4 of said Block D2, a distance of 30.78 feet to a point; thence deflecting to the left  $84^{\circ}12'00''$  run Southerly a distance of 6.82 feet to a point; thence run in an easterly direction a distance of 32.40 feet to a point on the Westerly line of said South Coconut Lane; thence run in a Northerly direction along the Westerly line of said South Coconut Lane a distance of 10.54 feet to the Point of Beginning of the tract of land herein described.

Together with the following parcel described as Parcel "B"; A part of Lot 4, Block D2, AMENDED RIVIERA, FIRST AND SECOND ADDITIONS, recorded in Plat Book 32, at Page 37, of the Public Records of Dade County, Florida, said Parcel "B" described as follows:

Commence (P.O.C.) at the Northeast corner of Lot 4, also being the Southeast corner of Lot 3, and run on an assumed bearing of due West, along the dividing line between Lots 3 and 4, a distance of 30.78 feet to the Point of Beginning (P.O.B.) of Parcel "B" thence run South  $5^{\circ}48'00''$  West, a distance of 3.93 feet to a point; thence run North  $85^{\circ}13'18''$  West, a distance of 47.26 feet to an intersection with the dividing line between said Lots 3 and 4; thence run due East along said dividing line, a distance of 47.50 feet to the Point of Beginning (P.O.B.).

Less the following parcel described as Parcel "A": A part of Lot 4, Block D2, AMENDED RIVIERA, FIRST AND SECOND ADDITIONS, recorded in Plat Book 32, at Page 37, of the Public records of Dade County, Florida, said Parcel "A" described as follows: Commence at the Northeast corner of Lot 4 of the above reference subdivision and run Southeasterly along the Easterly line of Lot 4, along the arc of a circular curve having a radius of 210.00 feet, through a central angle of  $2^{\circ}52'34''$  a distance of 10.54 feet to the Point of Beginning of said parcel "A" thence run Northwesterly along the Easterly line of Lot 4, along the arc of a circular curve having a radius of 210.00 feet, through a central angle of  $0^{\circ}49'07''$ , a distance of 3.00 feet to the Southerly face of a 0.50 wide stucco wall; thence run North  $83^{\circ}23'17''$  West, along an assumed bearing, along the Southerly face of said wall, a distance of 31.52 feet; thence run South  $5^{\circ}48'00''$  West a distance of 2.89 feet; thence run South  $83^{\circ}15'25''$  East, a distance of 31.95 feet to the Point of Beginning (P.O.B.).

**DESIGN REVIEW BOARD**  
**City of Miami Beach, Florida**

MEETING DATE: November 5, 2024

PROPERTY/FOLIO: **310 South Coconut Lane 02-4205-002-0580**

FILE NO: DRB24-1051

LEGAL: See 'Exhibit A'

IN RE: An application has been filed requesting Design Review Approval for a two-story residence with an understory, including one or more waivers, to replace an existing residence.

APPLICANT: Pierre De Agostini

**ORDER**

The City of Miami Beach Design Review Board makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT, based upon the evidence, information, testimony and materials presented at the public hearing and which are part of the record for this matter:

**I. Design Review**

- A. The Board has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2.1.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations. The property is not located within a designated local historic district and is not an individually designated historic site.
- B. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with the Design Review in Section 2.5.3.1 of the Land Development Regulations.
- C. Based on the plans and documents submitted with the application, testimony and information provided by the applicant, and the reasons set forth in the Planning Department Staff Report, the project as submitted is consistent with Sea Level Rise Criteria in Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) of the Land Development Regulations.
- D. The project will remain consistent with the criteria and requirements 2.5.3.1 and/or Section 7.1.2.4(a)(i) with the following conditions:
  - 1. Revised elevation, site plan, and floor plan drawings for the proposed new home at 310 South Coconut Lane shall be submitted, at a minimum, such drawings shall incorporate the following:
    - a. The height of the home **shall be** reduced to 28 feet.
    - b. The side (south) open space requirement **shall be** waived as proposed.

- c. The pond within the understory area shall be setback 5 feet from the north exterior side of the underneath of the first habitable floor above.
  - d. The mechanical equipment enclosure proposed on the roof level, shall not be approved as proposed. The enclosure walls shall be lowered to a height that does not exceed the height of the equipment being screened.
  - e. The openings in the front limestone clad façade shall be increased in size in order to reduce the massing of the elevation, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
  - f. The final design details of the exterior materials and finishes shall be submitted, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff consistent with the Design Review Criteria and/or the directions from the Board.
  - g. URBAN HEAT ISLAND ORDINANCE Section 7.5.3.2(g)(iv) Driveways and parking areas that are open to the sky within any required yard shall be composed of porous pavement or shall have a high albedo surface consisting of a durable material or sealant, as defined in Section 1.2.1 of this Code, and (v) Driveways and parking areas composed of asphalt that does not have a high albedo surface, as defined in Section 1.2.1, shall be prohibited.
  - h. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the project Architect shall verify, in writing, that the subject project has been constructed in accordance with the plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.
2. A revised landscape plan, prepared by a Professional Landscape Architect, registered in the State of Florida, and corresponding plans shall be submitted to and approved by staff. The species, type, quantity, dimensions, spacing, location and overall height of all plant material shall be clearly delineated and subject to the review and approval of staff. At a minimum, such plans shall comply with Chapter 4-Landscape Requirements of the Miami Beach Code and shall incorporate the following:
- a. Shrubs and groundcover shall not be planted in the public Right-of-Way. The plans shall be revised to indicate that sod is to be used.
  - b. The plans shall be revised to comply with the line-of-sight visibility triangle requirements, subject to the review and approval of staff.
  - c. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall submit a tree protection plan for all trees to be retained on site. Such plan shall be subject to the review and approval of staff, and shall include, but not be limited to a sturdy tree protection fence installed at the dripline of the trees prior to any construction.
  - d. In order to identify, protect and preserve mature trees on site, which are suitable for retention and relocation, a Tree Report prepared by a Certified Tree Arborist shall be submitted for the mature trees on site.

- e. Any tree identified to be in good overall condition shall be retained and protected in their current location if they are not in conflict with the proposed home, or they shall be relocated on site, if determined feasible, subject to the review and approval of staff.
- f. Existing trees to be retained on site shall be protected from all types of construction disturbance. Root cutting, storage of soil or construction materials, movement of heavy vehicles, change in drainage patterns, and wash of concrete or other materials shall be prohibited.
- g. The proposed and existing trees located within the swale shall be subject to the review and approval of Green Space and CIP.
- h. Street trees shall be required within the swale at the front of the property if not in conflict with existing utilities, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by the Public Works Department. Any new street trees shall be of a consistent canopy tree species as similar to the neighboring trees along the street.
- i. Any existing plant material within the public right-of-way may be required to be removed, as the discretion of the Public Works Department.
- j. A fully automatic irrigation system with 100% coverage and an automatic rain sensor in order to render the system inoperative in the event of rain. Right-of-way areas shall also be incorporated as part of the irrigation system.
- k. The utilization of root barriers and/or Silva Cells, as applicable, shall be clearly delineated on the revised landscape plan.
- l. Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the Landscape Architect or the project architect shall verify, in writing, that the project is consistent with the site and landscape plans approved by the Planning Department for Building Permit.

**In accordance with section 2.2.4.8 of the Land Development Regulations, the applicant, the City Manager, Miami Design Preservation League, Dade Heritage Trust, or an affected person may appeal a decision of the design review board for design review approval only to the city commission, except that orders granting or denying a request for rehearing shall not be reviewed by the commission.**

**I. Variance(s)**

- A. No variance(s) were filed as part of this application.

**The decision of the Board regarding variances shall be final and there shall be no further review thereof except by resort to a court of competent jurisdiction by petition for writ of certiorari.**

**II. General Terms and Conditions applying to both 'I. Design Review Approval and 'II. Variances' noted above.**

- A. All new construction over 7,000 square feet shall be required to be, at a minimum, certified as LEED Gold by USGBC. In lieu of achieving LEED Gold certification, properties can elect to pay a sustainability fee or post a bond, pursuant to Section 7.1.3.2 of the Land Development Regulations. This fee is set as a percentage of the cost of construction.
- B. Upon the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy or Certificate of Completion, as applicable, the project approved herein shall be maintained in accordance with the plans approved by the board and shall be subject to all conditions of approval herein, unless otherwise modified by the Board. Failure to maintain shall result in the issuance of a Code Compliance citation, and continued failure to comply may result in revocation of the Certificate of Occupancy, Completion and Business Tax Receipt.
- C. During construction work, the applicant shall maintain gravel at the front of the construction site within the first 15'-0" of the required front yard and including the swale (subject to the review and approval of Public Works), to mitigate disturbance of soil and mud by related personal vehicles exiting and entering the site. All construction materials, including dumpsters and portable toilets, shall be located behind the construction fence and not visible from the right-of-way.
- D. During the course of construction, all vehicles, including, but not limited to all personal vehicles, shall park within the confines of the private property, the swale directly abutting the construction site, or at alternate overflow parking sites that are not on-street metered spaces and not zoned RS. Additionally, parking of any vehicles shall be prohibited in the travel lanes of all streets.
- E. All allowable construction signage shall be attached to or situated behind the construction fence and shall be limited to one (1) sign, not to exceed four (4) square feet, in accordance with Section 6.3.2 of the Land Development Regulations.
- F. The building and parking departments shall approve a construction parking plan prior to the issuance of any building permit, including applicable demolition permits for the project.
- G. The applicant shall ensure that the contractor(s) observe good construction practices and prevent construction materials and debris from impacting the right-of-way.
- H. The contractor(s) shall ensure that the street and the swale directly abutting the construction site remains free of debris and refuse at all times; at a minimum, the contractor(s) shall inspect and clear the street and swale areas before leaving at the end of each day.
- I. This order shall be enforced by the Building, Planning, Parking and Code Compliance Departments.
- J. A recycling/salvage plan shall be provided as part of the submittal for a demolition/building permit, in a manner to be reviewed and approved by staff.
- K. A copy of all pages of the recorded Final Order shall be scanned into the plans submitted for building permit and shall be located immediately after the front cover page of the permit plans.

- L. The Final Order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.
- M. Satisfaction of all conditions is required for the Planning Department to give its approval on a Certificate of Occupancy; a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or Partial Certificate of Occupancy may also be conditionally granted Planning Departmental approval.
- N. The Final Order is not severable, and if any provision or condition hereof is held void or unconstitutional in a final decision by a court of competent jurisdiction, the order shall be returned to the Board for reconsideration as to whether the order meets the criteria for approval absent the stricken provision or condition, and/or it is appropriate to modify the remaining conditions or impose new conditions.
- O. The conditions of approval herein are binding on the applicant, the property's owners, operators, and all successors in interest and assigns.
- P. Nothing in this order authorizes a violation of the City Code or other applicable law, nor allows a relaxation of any requirement or standard set forth in the City Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, based upon the foregoing findings of fact, the evidence, information testimony and materials presented at the public hearing, which are part of the record for this matter, and the staff report and analysis, which are adopted herein, including the staff recommendations, which were amended and adopted by the Board, that the **application** is GRANTED for the above-referenced project subject to those certain conditions specified in Paragraph I, II, III of the Finding of Fact, to which the applicant has agreed.

PROVIDED, the applicant shall build substantially in accordance with the architectural plans, entitled "Coconut Lane Residence" as designed by **Praxis Architecture Design** dated September 6, 2024; and as approved by the Design Review Board, as determined by staff. When requesting a building permit, the plans submitted to the Building Department for permit shall be consistent with the plans approved by the Board, modified in accordance with the conditions set forth in this Order. No building permit may be issued unless and until all conditions of approval that must be satisfied prior to permit issuance, as set forth in this Order, have been met.

If the Full Building Permit for the project is not issued within eighteen (18) months of the meeting date at which the original approval was granted, the application will expire and become null and void, unless the applicant makes an application to the Board for an extension of time, in accordance with the requirements and procedures of Section 2.2.4.6 of the Land Development Regulations; the granting of any such extension of time shall be at the discretion of the Board. If the Full Building Permit for the project shall expire for any reason (including but not limited to construction not commencing and continuing, with required inspections, in accordance with the applicable Building Code), the application will expire and become null and void.

In accordance with Chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, the violation of any conditions and safeguards that are a part of this Order shall be deemed a violation of the land development regulations of the City Code. Failure to comply with this **Order** shall subject the application to Chapter 2 of the Land Development Regulations, for revocation or modification of the application.

Filed with the Clerk of the  
Design Review Board on \_\_\_\_\_ ( )

'Exhibit A'

All of Lot 3 in Block D2 of AMENDED RIVIERA FIRST AND SECOND ADDITION, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 32, at Page 37, of the Public Records of Dade County, Florida; also a 20.00 foot strip of land contiguous to the Westerly boundary line of said Lot 3, lying between the Westerly extensions of the Northerly and Southerly boundary lines of said Lot 3; also that part of Lot 4 in Block D2 of Amended Riviera, which is described as follows: Beginning at a point which is the intersection of the dividing line between Lots 3 and 4 of said Block D2 and the Westerly line of South Coconut Lane, run Westerly along the dividing line between said Lots 3 and 4 of said Block D2, a distance of 30.78 feet to a point; thence deflecting to the left  $84^{\circ}12'00''$  run Southerly a distance of 6.82 feet to a point; thence run in an easterly direction a distance of 32.40 feet to a point on the Westerly line of said South Coconut Lane; thence run in a Northerly direction along the Westerly line of said South Coconut Lane a distance of 10.54 feet to the Point of Beginning of the tract of land herein described.

Together with the following parcel described as Parcel "B"; A part of Lot 4, Block D2, AMENDED RIVIERA, FIRST AND SECOND ADDITIONS, recorded in Plat Book 32, at Page 37, of the Public Records of Dade County, Florida, said Parcel "B" described as follows:

Commence (P.O.C.) at the Northeast corner of Lot 4, also being the Southeast corner of Lot 3, and run on an assumed bearing of due West, along the dividing line between Lots 3 and 4, a distance of 30.78 feet to the Point of Beginning (P.O.B.) of Parcel "B" thence run South  $5^{\circ}48'00''$  West, a distance of 3.93 feet to a point; thence run North  $85^{\circ}13'18''$  West, a distance of 47.26 feet to an intersection with the dividing line between said Lots 3 and 4; thence run due East along said dividing line, a distance of 47.50 feet to the Point of Beginning (P.O.B.).

Less the following parcel described as Parcel "A": A part of Lot 4, Block D2, AMENDED RIVIERA, FIRST AND SECOND ADDITIONS, recorded in Plat Book 32, at Page 37, of the Public records of Dade County, Florida, said Parcel "A" described as follows: Commence at the Northeast corner of Lot 4 of the above reference subdivision and run Southeasterly along the Easterly line of Lot 4, along the arc of a circular curve having a radius of 210.00 feet, through a central angle of  $2^{\circ}52'34''$  a distance of 10.54 feet to the Point of Beginning of said parcel "A" thence run Northwesterly along the Easterly line of Lot 4, along the arc of a circular curve having a radius of 210.00 feet, through a central angle of  $0^{\circ}49'07''$ , a distance of 3.00 feet to the Southerly face of a 0.50 wide stucco wall; thence run North  $83^{\circ}23'17''$  West, along an assumed bearing, along the Southerly face of said wall, a distance of 31.52 feet; thence run South  $5^{\circ}48'00''$  West a distance of 2.89 feet; thence run South  $83^{\circ}15'25''$  East, a distance of 31.95 feet to the Point of Beginning (P.O.B.).